Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	an/	09/546,573	HOLTEN-ANDERSEN ET AL.
	ar y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1643
All Participants:		Status of Application:	_
(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.		(3)	
(2) Stanislaus Aksman.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 June 2005		Time:	
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 6, 8, 11, 12, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 43, 45, 47-51, 53, and Prior art documents discussed:	54		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The eparate re	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Mr. Aksman to propose entry of an examiner's amendment canceling withdrawn claims 6, 8, 11, 12, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 43, 45, 47-50, 53, and 54, amending claim 51 to correct typographical error, and replacing the abstract. Mr. Alsman authorized entry of the proposed examiner's amendment.